

### Objectives

- Review anatomy and recognize common patterns associated with isolated ocular motor CN palsies
- Identify common causes of isolated ocular motor CN palsies
- Review and discuss accepted protocol in management and work-up of isolated ocular motor CN palsies
- Discussion and debate on neuroimaging isolated ocular motor CN palsies











### Cranial Nerve IV

- Only CN comes off back of brainstem
- Longest CN
- Innervates SO MOA's: intorts, depresses and abducts
- Primary gaze: Hypertropia
- Head tilt opposite paretic muscle
- Pattern: Nasal upshoot















### Causes of Isolated Ocular Motor CN Palsies

Microvascular ischemia

- Brain stem strokes
- Metastasis
- Aneurysms/Hemorrhages Increased intraocular pressure (IV and VI) Trauma (IV)
- Tumors Demyelination

Pituitary apoplexy

Congenital (IV)

#### Presumptive Nature of Microvascular Ischemia Isolated Ocular Motor CN Palsies

- Limited histopathologic data
- Absence of additional neurological s/s
- No new findings in f/u period
- Stable course and spontaneous recovery
- Occurring in older adults w vasculopathic RF's
- Not based on negative high quality imaging





Rule Conundrum



| Tonic Pupil      High risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head      Highest risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head      Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head      Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Rule out angle closure<br>glaucoma/Adle's pupil        Anisocoria worse in<br>bright Illumination      Uncertain risk of<br>aneurysm: Strongly c/o<br>MRA/CTA head if demo<br>agree. Systemic vasc<br>evaluation, ESR, C-reactive<br>protein      High risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head      Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Bergent MRA/CTA head      Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Close observation over 1 <sup>st</sup><br>week for pupil<br>involvement. Systemic      Normal  | Summary:<br>Mgmt of CN III Palsy           | Complete<br>Opthalmoplegia  | Partial<br>Ophthalmoplegia  | Normal EOM's   |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Anisocoria worse in<br>bright illumination      Uncertain risk of<br>aneurysm: Strongly c/o<br>MRA/CTA head if demo<br>agree. Systemic vasc<br>evaluation, ESR, C-reactive<br>protein      High risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head<br>for the second<br>control of | Tonic Pupil                                | High risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head   | Highest risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head  | Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Rule out angle closure<br>glaucoma/Adie's pupil |
| Normal pupil      Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Systemic vasc evaluation.<br>ESR, C-reactive protein<br>vasc evaluation.      Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Close observation over 1 <sup>st</sup> .<br>Week for pupil<br>involvement. Systemic<br>vasc eval. ESR. CRP      Normal   | Anisocoria worse in<br>bright illumination | Uncertain risk of<br>aneurysm: Strongly c/o<br>MRA/CTA head if demo<br>agree. Systemic vasc<br>evaluation, ESR, C-reactive<br>protein | High risk of aneurysm:<br>Emergent MRA/CTA head   | Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Rule out angle closure<br>glaucoma/Adie's pupil |
|  | Normal pupil                               | Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Systemic vasc evaluation,<br>ESR, C-reactive protein   | Low risk of aneurysm:<br>Close observation over 1 <sup>st</sup><br>week for pupil<br>involvement. Systemic<br>vasc eval, ESR, CRP | Normal   |

#### Exceptions to the Rule? Using "Pupil Sparing" as a safety net

- Associated PAIN?
- Alternative diagnoses rare?
- Faulty assumptions
- Accuracy of pupil sparing finding
  Clinical error
  Pupil sparing early in clinical course
  Diabetic affects on iris sphincter
  Pupillary variability on PRP pts



Sixth Nerve Palsies

Proceed With Caution







| CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS WITH ACUTE ISOLATED OCULAR MOTOR PALSY OF<br>PRESUMED MICROVASCULAR VERSUS OTHER CAUSES |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Total Patients (n=109)  | Presumed Microvascular<br>Ischemia n=91 (83.5%) | Other Causes<br>N=18 (16.5%) |  |  |  |  |
| Age median (range)  | 64 (54-90)                                      | 64 (50-80)                   |  |  |  |  |
| Sex   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Male  | 56 (61.5%)                                      | 10 (55.6%)                   |  |  |  |  |
| Female  | 35 (38.5%)                                      | 8 (44.4%)                    |  |  |  |  |
| CN involved (n)   | 91 (83.5%)                                      | 18 (16.5%)                   |  |  |  |  |
| III (22)  | 19 (21%)  | 3 (17%)                      |  |  |  |  |
| IV (25)   | 22 (24%)  | 3 (17%)                      |  |  |  |  |
| VI (62)   | 50 (55%)  | 12 (67%)                     |  |  |  |  |
| History of Vasculopathic RF's   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Yes   | 83 (91.2%)                                      | 11 (61.1%)                   |  |  |  |  |
| No  | 8 (8.8%)  | 7 (38.9%)                    |  |  |  |  |

CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS WITH ACUTE OCULAR MOTOR MONONEUROPATHIES OF PERIPHERAL MICROVASCULAR VS. OTHER ETIOLOGY

(n=9) n(%

66 (56-71)

4 (44%)

5 (56%)

4 (44%)

2 (50%)

2 (50%)

1 (12%)

4 (44%)

aging and clin

4 (56%)

udy of the roles

11%

ent, J

(n=57) n(%)

36 (63%)

21 (37%)

25 (44%)

7 (29%)

18 (71%)

13 (23%)

19 (33%)

53 (95%)

66)

Sex Male

CN III

CN IV

CN VI

Female

Pupil involved

Presence of Vascular RF's

(diabetes, hypertension, chol...) Chou KL, Galetta SL, Liu GT, et al. Acute ocular m Neurol Sci 2004;219:35-39.

Pupil spared

Age in years (n=median range) 68 (52-85)

| ETIOLOGY              | CRANIAL NERVE AFFECTED |    |    |       |  |  |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----|----|-------|--|--|
|                       |                        | IV | VI | TOTAL |  |  |
| NEOPLASM              | 1                      | 1  | 1  | 3     |  |  |
| BRAINSTEM INFARCT     | 1                      | 0  | 1  | 2     |  |  |
| ANEURYSM              | 2                      | 0  | 0  | 2     |  |  |
| DEMYELINATING DISEASE | 0                      | 0  | 1  | 1     |  |  |
| PITUITARY APOPLEXY    | 0                      | 0  | 1  | 1     |  |  |
| TOTAL                 | 4(2)                   | 1  | 4  | 9(7)  |  |  |

THE PROPORTION OF PATIENTS WITH PRESUMED MICROVASCULAR ISCHEMIA VERSUS OTHER CAUSES OF ACUTE ISOLATED OCULAR MOTOR NERVE PALSY ROUPS SUBGROUPS PRESUMED MICROVASCULAR CAUSES N=91 (83.5%) OTHER CAUSE N=18 (16.5%) Significant past medical history (n=29) No prior imaging (n=10) 8 (8.8%) 2 (11.1%) 10(34.4%) Prior imaging (n=19) 11 (12.1%) 8 (44.4%) Vasculopathic risk No prior imaging (n=28) (n=80) 26 (28.6%) 2 (11.1%) 8(10%) 46 (50.6%) 6 (33.3%) Prior imaging (m=52) Tamhankar MA, Biousse V, Ying GS, Prasad S, Subramanian PS, Lee MS, Eggenberger E, Moss HE, Pineles S, Bennett J, et al. Ophthalmology, 2013 Nov; 120(11):2264-9, Epub 2013 Jun6.

NEUROIMAGING AND ACUTE OCULAR MOTOR MONONEUROPATHIES: A PROSPECTIVE STUDY

- OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the necessity of neuroimaging in patients with acute, isolated ocular motor mononeuropathies
- METHODS: Evaluate MRI results in 93 patients, >50yo, with acute isolated mononeuropathies
- RESULTS: 1/93 patients
- IMAGING COSTS: \$131,688
- CONCLUSION: It may not be medically necessary to perform MRI scanning on every patient with an isolated cranial nerve III, IV, or VI palsy.

Murchison AP, Glibert ME, Savino PJ. Neuroimaging and acute ocular motor mononeuropathies: a prospective study. Arch Ophthalmol. 2011;129:301–5.

# STUDIES:

SUMMARIES AND COMPARISONS



## THE DEBATE:

TO IMAGE, OR NOT TO IMAGE. THAT IS THE QUESTION?



## To Image...

- Facilitated by hi-quality imaging studies
- Inexperience of non-specialist
- Psychological and emotional benefit
- Rate of positive findings compare favorably to other accepted practices in imaging
- The advances in the management of multiple sclerosis, stroke and neoplasms
- Medical legal implications



Without Contrast

...Or Not To Image

- Relatively low yield
- Unnecessarily uses resources/cost burden
- Work-up can be delayed
- Health risks/benefits
- Staff productivity



